

### 3.3 Comparison of two or more Poisson means:

Suppose that  $Y_i \sim P(\mu_i), i = 1, \dots, k$ , are independent Poisson random variables with  $\log(\mu_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$  and that we require to test the composite null hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k = \exp(\beta_0) \\ \Leftrightarrow H_0: \log(\mu_1) = \log(\mu_2) = \dots = \log(\mu_k) = \beta_0 \\ \Leftrightarrow H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The alternative hypotheses under consideration are  $H_1: \beta_1 > 0$ , or  $H_1: \beta_1 < 0$  or  $H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$ . Denote  $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k)$ . Standard theory of significance testing leads to consideration of the test statistic

$$T(Y) = T(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i Y_i$$

conditionally on the observed value of

$$m(Y) = m(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i$$

which is the sufficient statistic for  $\beta_0$ . For example, as  $H_a: \beta_1 > 0$ , the test is

reject  $H_0$  as  $T(y) > c_0(m)$

reject  $H_0$  with probability  $w(m)$  as  $T(y) = c_0(m)$

not reject  $H_0$  as  $T(y) < c_0(m)$

given the data

$$y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k), m = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i$$

where  $c_0(m)$  and  $w(m)$  can be obtained by solving

$$P(T(Y) > c_0(m) | m(Y) = m) + w(m)P(T(Y) = c_0(m) | m(Y) = m) = \alpha$$

under  $H_0$ , i.e.,  $\beta_1 = 0$  and  $w(m)$  is some constant depending on  $m$ .

Note that under the null hypothesis, we regard the data as having multinomial distribution with index  $m$  and parameter vector  $(1/k, 1/k, \dots, 1/k)$  independent of  $\beta_0$ , i.e.,

$$P\left(Y_1 = y_1, Y_2 = y_2, \dots, Y_k = y_k | m(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i = m\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{m!}{\prod_{i=1}^k y_i!} \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{y_i} = \frac{m!}{\prod_{i=1}^k y_i!} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^k y_i} \\
&= \frac{m!}{\prod_{i=1}^k y_i!} \frac{1}{k^m}
\end{aligned}$$

**Note:**

Let  $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k)$  has the probability density function or probability distribution function

$$f(y) = f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) = c(\theta, \eta) \exp \left[ \theta T(y) + \sum_{j=1}^r \eta_j m_j(y) \right] h(y).$$

Let

$$m(y) = (m_1(y), m_2(y), \dots, m_r(y)).$$

Then, for testing  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ , an UMP (uniformly most powerful) unbiased level-test given  $m(Y) = m$  is

reject  $H_0$  as  $T(y) > c_0(m)$

reject  $H_0$  with probability  $w(m)$  as  $T(y) = c_0(m)$

not reject  $H_0$  as  $T(y) < c_0(m)$

where  $c_0(m)$  and  $w(m)$  can be obtained by solving

$$P(T(Y) > c_0(m) | m(Y) = m) + w(m)P(T(Y) = c_0(m) | m(Y) = m) = \alpha$$

under the null hypothesis.

Therefore, for independent Poisson random variables  $Y_i \sim P(\mu_i), i = 1, \dots, k$ , with  $\log(\mu_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$ , the probability distribution function is

$$\begin{aligned}
f(y) &= \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\mu_i^{y_i} \cdot \exp(-\mu_i)}{y_i!} = \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \right) \exp \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \log(\mu_i^{y_i}) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^k y_i!} \\
&= \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \right) \exp \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \cdot \log(\mu_i) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^k y_i!} \\
&= \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \right) \exp \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k y_i (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^k y_i!} \\
&= \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \right) \exp \left[ \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i + \beta_0 \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \right] \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^k y_i!} \\
&= c(\beta_0, \beta_1) \exp[\beta_1 T(y) + \beta_0 m(y)] h(y)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i\right), T(y) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i, m(y) = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i, h(y) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^k y_i!}.$$

**Note:**

The Poisson log-likelihood function for  $(\beta_0, \beta_1)$  in this problem is

$$\begin{aligned} l(\beta_0, \beta_1) &\propto \sum_{i=1}^k [y_i \cdot \log(\mu_i) - \mu_i] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k [y_i(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) - \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)] \\ &= \beta_0 \sum_{i=1}^k y_i + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i - \sum_{i=1}^k \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\tau = \sum_{i=1}^k \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i.$$

Then, the log-likelihood for  $(\tau, \beta_1)$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} l(\beta_0, \beta_1) &\propto \beta_0 \sum_{i=1}^k y_i + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i - \sum_{i=1}^k \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) \\ &= \beta_0 m + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i - \tau \\ &= m \cdot \log(\tau) - \tau + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i - [m \cdot \log(\tau) - \beta_0 m] \\ &= m \cdot \log(\tau) - \tau + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i - m \cdot \log\left[\frac{\tau}{\exp(\beta_0)}\right] \\ &= m \cdot \log(\tau) - \tau + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i - m \cdot \log\left[\sum_{i=1}^k \exp(\beta_1 x_i)\right] \\ &= l_{m(Y)}(\tau) + l_{Y|m(Y)}(\beta_1) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$l_{m(Y)}(\tau) \propto m \cdot \log(\tau) - \tau$$

is the Poisson log-likelihood based on

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i = m(Y) \sim P(\tau)$$

and

$$l_{Y|m(Y)}(\beta_1) \propto \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i - m \cdot \log \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \exp(\beta_1 x_i) \right]$$

is the multinomial log-likelihood for  $\beta_1$  based on conditional distribution,

$$Y|m(Y) = m \equiv Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k | m(Y) = m \sim M(m, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k),$$

where  $M(m, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)$  is a multinomial distribution with index  $m$  and parameters

$$\pi_j = \frac{\exp(\beta_1 x_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^k \exp(\beta_1 x_i)}, j = 1, \dots, k.$$