## Review 4

A. ANOVA for testing the equality of $\mathbf{k}$ population means.

$$
f=\frac{S S B / k-1}{S S W / n_{T}-k}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{j}\left(\bar{x}_{j}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} / k-1}{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(n_{j}-1\right) s_{j}^{2} / n_{T}-k}=\frac{M S B}{M S W}
$$

ANOVA Table:

| Source | Sum of <br> Squares | Degree of <br> Freedom | Mean Square | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Between | $S S B$ | $k-1$ | $M S B$ <br> $=S S B$$k-1$ |  | | $\frac{M S B}{M S W}$ |
| :---: |
| Within |

B. $\chi^{2}$ test for:

1. proportions of a multinomial population:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(f_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2}}{e_{i}}
$$

2. the independence of two variables (contingency table):

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\left(f_{i j}-e_{i j}\right)^{2}}{e_{i j}}
$$

## Example 1:

The following data are from 4 different populations.

| Sample 1 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 9.2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sample 2 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 8.0 |  |
| Sample 3 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 6.1 |
| Sample 4 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 7.1 |  |

Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}$ and $\mu_{4}$ be the mean number of products of the 4 production lines.
(a) Provide the ANOVA table.
(b) Please test the hypothesis $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\mu_{4}$ with $\alpha=0.05$.
[Solution:]

$$
k=4, \alpha=0.05, n_{1}=4, n_{2}=5, n_{3}=6, n_{4}=5, n_{T}=n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}=20
$$

and

$$
\bar{x}_{1}=8.875, \bar{x}_{2}=8.16, \bar{x}_{3}=6.7, \bar{x}_{4}=6.88, \bar{x}=7.545
$$

(a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
S S T= & \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}}\left(x_{j, i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} x_{j, i}^{2}-n_{T} \bar{x}^{2}=19.35 \\
S S B= & \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{j}\left(\bar{x}_{j}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \\
= & 4 \cdot(8.875-7.545)^{2}+5 \cdot(8.816-7.545)^{2} \\
& +6 \cdot(6.7-7.545)^{2}+5 \cdot(6.88-7.545)^{2} \\
= & 15.462
\end{aligned} \\
& S S W=S S T-S S B=19.35-15.462=3.888 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The ANOVA table is

| Source | SS | df | MS | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between | 15.462 | $k-1=3$ | $15.462 / 3=5.154$ | $f=5.154 / 0.243$ |
| $=21.21$ |  |  |  |  |$|$

(b) We reject $H_{0}$ since

$$
f=21.21>3.24=f_{3,16,0.05}=f_{k-1, n_{T}-k, \alpha} .
$$

## Example 2:

The data were selected from each of three normal populations with equal variances.
The data obtained follow.

| Observation | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8 | 6 | 8 |
| 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3 | 3 | 7 | 9 |
| 4 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| 5 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| Sample mean | 5.6 | 5.4 | 7 |
| Sample variance | 3.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 |

Set up the ANOVA table for this problem and test the null hypothesis that the three population means are equal at the $\alpha=0.05$ level of significance.
[Solution:]

$$
\begin{gathered}
S S B=\sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{j}\left(\bar{x}_{j}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}=5 \cdot(5.6-6)^{2}+5 \cdot(5.4-6)^{2}+5 \cdot(7-6)^{2}=7.6 \\
S S W=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(n_{j}-1\right) s_{j}^{2}=4 \cdot 3.3+4 \cdot 2.3+4 \cdot 2.5=32.4
\end{gathered}
$$

The ANOVA table is

| Source | SS | DF | MS | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between | 7.6 | 2 | 3.8 | 1.41 |
| Within | 32.4 | 12 | 2.7 |  |
| Total | 40 | 14 |  |  |

Therefore,

$$
f=1.41<f_{2,12,0.05}=3.89 \Rightarrow \text { not reject } H_{0}
$$

## Example 3:

Negative appeals have been recognized as an effective method of persuasion in advertising. The number of ads with guilt appeals that appeared in selected types follow.

| Magazine Type | Number of Ads with Guilt Appeals |
| :---: | :---: |
| News and opinion | 20 |
| General editorial | 15 |
| Family - oriented | 30 |
| Business/financial | 20 |
| Female - oriented | 20 |
| African - American | 10 |

Use $\alpha=0.05$ test to determine any differences in the proportion of ads with guilt appeals among the six types of magazines.
[Solution:]

$$
H_{0}: p_{1}=p_{2}=p_{3}=p_{4}=p_{5}=p_{6}=1 / 6, e_{i}=115 \cdot \frac{1}{6}=19.17
$$

for $i=1,2, \cdots, 6$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2}= & \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{\left(f_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2}}{e_{i}} \\
= & \frac{(20-19.17)^{2}}{19.17}+\frac{(15-19.17)^{2}}{19.17}+\frac{(30-19.17)^{2}}{19.17} \\
& +\frac{(20-19.17)^{2}}{19.17}+\frac{(20-19.17)^{2}}{19.17}+\frac{(10-19.17)^{2}}{19.17} \\
= & 11.52>11.07=\chi_{5,0.05}^{2}=\chi_{k-1, \alpha}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we reject $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$.

## Example 4:

The following are the number of wrong answers for the number of the students.

| Number of wrong answers | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of the students | 21 | 31 | 12 | 0 |

Suppose $X$ is the random variable representing the number of wrong answers. Please test $X$ is distributed as Binomial $(3,0.25)$ with $\alpha=0.05$..
(Note: the distribution function for $\operatorname{Binomial}(3,0.25)$ is

$$
f_{X}(x)=\binom{3}{x}(0.25)^{x}(0.75)^{3-x}, x=0,1,2,3
$$

## [Solution:]

As $H_{0}$ is true, the distribution for the number of wrong answers is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=P(X=0)=\binom{3}{0} 0.25^{0} 0.75^{3}=\frac{27}{64} \\
& p_{2}=P(X=1)=\binom{3}{1} 0.25^{1} 0.75^{2}=\frac{27}{64} \\
& p_{3}=P(X=2)=\binom{3}{2} 0.25^{2} 0.75^{1}=\frac{9}{64} \\
& p_{3}=P(X=3)=\binom{3}{3} 0.25^{3} 0.75^{0}=\frac{1}{64} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the sample size $n=21+31+12+0=64$, the expected numbers under $H_{0}$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{1}=n p_{1}=64 \cdot \frac{27}{64}=27, e_{2}=n p_{2}=64 \cdot \frac{27}{64}=27 \\
e_{3}=n p_{3}=64 \cdot \frac{9}{64}=9, e_{4}=n p_{4}=64 \cdot \frac{1}{64}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(f_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2}}{e_{i}} \\
& =\frac{(21-27)^{2}}{27}+\frac{(31-27)^{2}}{27}+\frac{(12-9)^{2}}{9}+\frac{(0-1)^{2}}{1} \\
& =3.92
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\chi^{2}=3.92<7.81=\chi^{2}{ }_{3,0.05}$, we do not reject $H_{0}$.

## Example 5:

Starting positions for business and engineering graduates are classified by industry as shown in the following table.

|  | Oil | Chemical | Electrical | Computer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 15 | 40 |
| Engineering | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 20 | 20 |

Use $\alpha=0.01$ and test for independence of degree major and industry type.
[Solution:]
The table for the expected numbers $e_{i j}$ is

|  | Oil | Chemical | Electrical | Computer | Row <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business | $\frac{60 \cdot 100}{200}$ <br> $=30$ | $\frac{45 \cdot 100}{200}$ <br> $=22.5$ | $\frac{35 \cdot 100}{200}$ <br> $=17.5$ | $\frac{60 \cdot 100}{200}$ <br> $=30$ | 100 |
| Engineering | $\frac{60 \cdot 100}{200}$ | $\frac{45 \cdot 100}{200}$ <br> $=30$ | $\frac{35 \cdot 100}{200}$ <br> $=17.5$ | $\frac{60 \cdot 100}{200}$ <br> $=30$ | 100 |
| Column <br> Total | 60 | 45 | 35 | 60 | 200 |

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2}= & \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\left(f_{i j}-e_{i j}\right)^{2}}{e_{i j}}=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \frac{\left(f_{i j}-e_{i j}\right)^{2}}{e_{i j}} \\
= & \frac{(30-30)^{2}}{30}+\frac{(15-22,5)^{2}}{22.5}+\frac{(15-17.5)^{2}}{17.5}+\frac{(40-30)^{2}}{30} \\
& +\frac{(30-30)^{2}}{30}+\frac{(30-22,5)^{2}}{22.5}+\frac{(20-17.5)^{2}}{17.5}+\frac{(30-30)^{2}}{30} \\
= & 12.39 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p=2, m=4, \alpha=0.01$,
$\chi^{2}=12.39>11.3449=\chi_{3,0.01}^{2}=\chi_{(2-1) \cdot(4-1), 0.01}^{2}=\chi_{(p-1) \cdot(m-1), \alpha}^{2}$ we reject $H_{0}$.

